

**From:** [Hughes, Angela](#)  
**To:** [James Luedecke](#); [Tim Kent](#); [mark osborn](#); [Larry Roberts](#); [Jack dalrymple](#); [Penisten, Martha](#); ['Powell, Tyler'](#); [Cates, David](#); [Datin, Dennis](#); [Ursula Lennox/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Janetta Coats/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Gary Baumgarten/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Robert Sullivan/R6/USEPA/US@EPA](#); ['ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net'](#); [Mason, Clyde](#); [Robbins, Sharon](#); [Hammons, John T. \(Trevor\)](#); [Rauch, Barbara](#)  
**Cc:** [Collins, Gary](#); [Thompson, Scott](#)  
**Subject:** RE: Repopulation of Relocation Zone  
**Date:** 02/03/2012 09:24 AM

---

Good Morning: After speaking to several parties I've decided to postpone the meeting. I'm looking at early March to set the meeting. Stay tuned - more to follow.

I've gotten feedback that illegal dumping should be discussed too. If there are other items you would like covered please let me know and I will develop an agenda.

DEQ's role "post buyout" will be defined during the meeting. I recommend that each organization/party consider defining their role and be prepared to discuss. I will be happy to add it to the agenda.

Kind Regards,  
 Angela

**Angela Hughes**  
**Environmental Programs Manager**  
**Land Protection Division**  
**Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality**  
**wk 405-702-5141**  
**cell 405-535-6054**  
**[Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov)**

---

**From:** Hughes, Angela  
**Sent:** Friday, December 23, 2011 9:46 AM  
**To:** 'James Luedecke'; 'Tim Kent'; 'mark osborn'; 'Larry Roberts'; 'Jack dalrymple'; Penisten, Martha; 'Powell, Tyler'; Cates, David; Datin, Dennis; 'lennox.ursula@epa.gov'; Coats.Janetta@epamail.epa.gov; 'Gary Baumgarten'; Sullivan.Robert@epamail.epa.gov; ottawacountyd1@sbcglobal.net; Mason, Clyde; Robbins, Sharon  
**Cc:** Collins, Gary  
**Subject:** Repopulation of Relocation Zone

Good morning:

This is a follow up email to our earlier conversations about the issue of people moving into or back into the relocation zone. There are several issues to discuss so I will start by listing the issues then I will address the two properties that we are currently aware. Please feel free to comment on the issues – any feedback is greatly appreciated. Perhaps we should have a meeting to discuss these issues? I would be happy to set that up if that is the consensus. Please look at the sent to list and let me know if I should include other parties.

1. List of Buyout Participants and Property

DEQ has two participant lists that I work from: Cinnabar list and the BKD list. These have all buyout related info including: parcel number, name, address, and costs. I believe this to all be public information and could be shared. The prudent route in my mind is to share these minus the cost information.

**I'd like your feedback on sharing the info with and without costs to the Quapaw Tribe, the County, EPA, and possibly utility companies (rural water district, electric, gas) What about DEQ's perc tests of septic systems?**

2. State statute language

I've provided the SB1463 that lays out the penalty for moving back into the area if the buyout was taken. See section 7604 page 6.

<< File: SB1463.pdf >>

The Trust is willing to proceed with action, if appropriate. It appears to me that we have several issues here.

- A. **Is the person currently occupying the property a Buyout Participant per the lists we have?**
- B. **Is the property the person is occupying a Buyout Property?**
- C. **How do we determine if the property is a Buyout Property or not with no street signs?**
- D. **Whose responsibility is it to manage this issue?**      Short- term – Trust?  
Long-term (after property disposition) – Quapaw tribe

3. Utility services

**Who should take the lead on contacting the local utility companies?**

**Should a letter be developed that explains the situation and provides them with the addresses that cannot/should not be hooked up?**

Any suggestions here would be appreciated.

Currently there are two occupied properties that we are aware of. The names provided to me are: (b) (6) and (b) (6). **Neither are on the Buyout Participant lists.** (b) (6) may have occupied the rental property of (b) (6) at (b) (6) (b) (6) during the buyout but Mr. (b) (6) did not participate.

Mr. (b) (6) has moved a trailer onto property near (b) (6). (b) (6) does not believe that it is on Trust land but said he would check on that. He has driven by the trailer at night and it does appear to have electricity and a large pile of fire wood. We assume no gas service, we know there is no water or sewer service.

(b) (6) appears to be building a house next to one that may have participated in the buyout on (b) (6). (b) (6) said he would check the property on that too. Again Mr. (b) (6) did not participate in the Buyout so the only thing we have would be if he's building on a Trust property. I am not sure if rural water is available in that area.

So give me your feedback and I will compile – I think a meeting is in order after listing out all the issues.

Thank you and Happy Holidays,  
Angela Hughes

**Angela Hughes**  
Environmental Programs Manager  
Land Protection Division  
Oklahoma Department of Environmental Quality  
wk 405-702-5141  
cell 405-535-6054  
[Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov](mailto:Angela.Hughes@deq.ok.gov)